Protecting Virtual Networks with DRONE

Shihabur R. Chowdhury, Reaz Ahmed, Nashid Shahriar, Md Mashrur Alam Khan, Raouf Boutaba



UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science Jeebak Mitra, Feng Zeng



Virtual Network Embedding (VNE)



Virtual Network Embedding (VNE)



Transport Network Virtualization

Transport Networks traditionally provided end-to-end connectivity

New use cases with virtualization: Full fledged VNs for customers

Tight SLA: Recover within 50ms of failure

Key Question: How to provide I + I-protection to an entire virtual network in a resource efficient way?

I + I- <u>Protected Virtual Network Embedding</u> (I + I- ProViNE)

I + I- <u>Protected Virtual Network Embedding</u> (I + I- ProViNE)

Dedicated protection for each virtual resource

Primary VN:
Backup VN:

I + I - <u>Protected Virtual Network Embedding</u> (I + I - ProViNE)

Dedicated protection for each virtual resource

Disjoint primary and backup embedding

Primary VN:
Backup VN:



I + I - <u>Protected Virtual Network Embedding</u> (I + I - ProViNE)

Dedicated protection for each virtual resource

Disjoint primary and backup embedding

Guaranteed Service under single physical node failure

Primary VN:
Backup VN:







Physical Network (G) Virtual Network (G')

Find two disjoint embedding of G' on G

Two disjoint embedding of each virtual node



 \square

Find two disjoint embedding of G' on G

Two disjoint embedding of each virtual node

Two disjoint embedding of each virtual link



Find two disjoint embedding of G' on G

Two disjoint embedding of each virtual node

Two disjoint embedding of each virtual link

Objective: Minimize total bandwidth cost



Our Proposal

Dedicated Protection for Virtual Network Embedding (DRONE)



14

State-of-the-art



*Ye, Zilong, et al. "Survivable Virtual Infrastructure Mapping With Dedicated Protection in Transport Software Defined Networks." Journal of Optical Communications and Networking 7(2): A183-A189, 2015.

OPT-DRONE: Integer Linear Program (ILP) model for optimal solution to 1 + 1-ProViNE that minimizes physical bandwidth allocation cost



Embed the VN first (primary embedding)





Embed the VN first (primary embedding)

Remove physical resources in primary embedding





Physical Network (G)

Embed the VN first (primary embedding)

Remove physical resources in primary embedding

Embed the VN again (backup embedding)





Why Sequential Embedding can Fail?

Physical Node and Link selection for primary can make backup embedding infeasible

Why Sequential Embedding can Fail?

Physical Node and Link selection for primary can make backup embedding infeasible

Not Considered by Sequential Embedding!

Take Away

Embed primary and backup at the same time, not sequentially

Consider infeasibility of backup embedding while doing the primary





Reformulate the problem as a special case of Graph Partitioning



Physical Network Graph G = (V, E)

Virtual Network Graph G' = (V', E')

Partition G into two node-disjoint partitions P and Q for the primary and the backup embedding





G

G'

P and Q each satisfies at least one location constraint from each



The nodes satisfying the location constraints of $L_{u'}$ in P and Q are connected



What does the restructuring say?

I+I-ProViNE is at least as hard as jointly solving Balanced Graph Partitioning and Unsplittable Multicommodity flow with unknown sources and destinations

Intuition from restructuring: Partition the Physical Network in some way and embed the VN & backup in parallel

Intuition from restructuring: Partition the Physical Network in some way and embed the VN & backup in parallel

Phase-I: Compute primary and backup embedding of virtual nodes

Intuition from restructuring: Partition the Physical Network in some way and embed the VN & backup in parallel

Phase-I: Compute primary and backup embedding of virtual nodes

Phase-II: Partition the physical network based on node embedding

Intuition from restructuring: Partition the Physical Network in some way and embed the VN & backup in parallel

Phase-I: Compute primary and backup embedding of virtual nodes

Phase-II: Partition the physical network based on node embedding

Phase-III: Embed virtual links inside primary and backup partitions

Phase – I: Node Mapping Phase



Phase – II: Partitioning Phase

Input: Seed Primary Partition Seed Backup Partition Un-partitioned Nodes



Physical Network - G

Phase – II: Partitioning Phase

Grow each seed partition to include all physical nodes.

<u>Output</u>: Primary Partition Backup Partition



Physical Network - G

Phase – III: Link Mapping Phase

Map virtual links on shortest path between their mapped endpoints



Evaluation: Setup

- FAST-DRONE compared with OPT-DRONE and PAR*
- Physical Network
 - ✤ 50 150 node synthetic topology
 - ✤ Mean degree between 2.4 4.4
- Virtual Network with <= 16 nodes</p>

^{*}Ye, Zilong, et al. "Survivable Virtual Infrastructure Mapping With Dedicated Protection in Transport Software Defined Networks." Journal of Optical Communications and Networking 7(2): A183-A189, 2015.

FAST-DRONE Performance Highlights



Within 15% of optimal on avg.

17.5% better than PAR on avg.



2-3 Orders of magnitude faster than Optimal

Summary

Two solutions to I+I-ProViNE: OPT-DRONE, FAST-DRONE

FAST-DRONE Outperforms stat-of-the-art

FAST-DRONE performs within ~15% of the Optimal



+ I Backup

OPT-DRONE: Key Constraints

A virtual node's primary embedding is disjoint from the set of physical nodes present in the virtual network's backup embedding and vice versa.



OPT-DRONE: Key Constraints

A virtual link's primary embedding is link and node disjoint from the set of physical links present in the virtual network's backup embedding and vice versa.





OPT-DRONE: Key Constraints

A virtual link's demand cannot be satisfied using multiple physical paths.



At least as hard as Unsplittable Multi-Commodity Flow with Unknown Source & Destination (NP-Hard)

FAST-DRONE vs OPT-DRONE



FAST-DRONE vs PAR



Resource Efficiency

Acceptance Rate (From Journal Submission)